



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,269	06/24/2004	Michael Maguire	555255012760	9824
24325 PATENT GRO	7590 04/23/2007 NIP 2N	EXAMINER		
JONES DAY		SHEDRICK, CHARLES TERRELL		
NORTH POIN 901 LAKESID	· <del>-</del>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND		2617		
			1	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/23/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/500,26	9	MAGUIRE ET AL.	MAGUIRE ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Charles S		2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status				· .				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <i>22 January</i> 200	7.					
2a)□	•	☐ This action is n		•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the appl	lication.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) <b>[X</b> ]	☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.		•			
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
<i>,</i> —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ccepted or b)	objected to by the Exar	miner.			
-,	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certi	fied copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/22/07 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/22/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The system described in Hull, by distinction, only receives and monitors messages from contacts which are <u>previously stored</u> in their contact list. Furthermore, as Hull is only capable of handling messages which are received from contacts, this means that senders of any messages are identified by comparing sender information with information stored <u>in the device's address</u> book. As the keypad numbers are used to control these contacts, the Hull device is only capable of handling a limit of 10 contacts. This reduces the amount of processing that is required by the device when a message is received.

However, the Examiner respectfully disagree.

Hull teaches the transceiver is used to receive messages as in known in mobile electronic communication devices... (e.g., see paragraph 0023). The correspondent is actually identified when a message received by the transceiver based on source and destination and then stored for lookup. The processor 104 stores the identification of a correspondent, however the mobile

Art Unit: 2617

device actually identifies the correspondent and then the message data <u>can be</u> stored in memory e.g., in order to correlate with address book based on other functions of the phone. Basically, the mobile device identifies a correspondent independent of the address book (e.g., source and destination headers which is also well known in the art), however adding a correspondent to the address book gives the user increased functionality (e.g., illuminating keys).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 2617

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hull et al. (US PAT. No 20030034878) in view of FUJINO et al. (20010012347).

Regarding claim 1, Hull et al teaches a method of processing a communication event in a mobile device (100, figure 1) having a user interface (103, 105, figure 1), the method comprising of identifying a correspondent (sender's identity) associated with the communication event (message, see page 2, paragraph (0019)), independent of address book (e.g., paragraphs 0023 and 0026), automatically retrieving (col. 2, paragraph (0046), lines 6-8) - allowing the user to access stored message from a communication event database, a communication event history associated with the identified correspondent (page 2, paragraphs (0026) & (0027))-where the user may send or access message, the communication event history (message log, 213, figure 2) including a plurality of prior communications events with the identified correspondent (page 2, paragraphs (0019) & (0023)), the prior communications events including a plurality of event types (page 2, paragraph (0023))., and displaying (103, figure 1) the retrieved communications event history of the identified correspondent using the user interface (105, figure 1) of the mobile device (213, 214, figure 2, page 2, paragraphs (0023) & (0019)).

FUJINO et al teaches from a communication event database, a communication event history associated with identified correspondent (page 3, paragraph (0034)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hull et al to include a plurality of prior communications

Art Unit: 2617

events with the identified correspondent, the prior communications events for the purpose of giving the user the advantage to determine the context of the communication event (abstract).

Regarding claim 2, Hull et al discloses wherein the step of identifying where other related data may store in the processing unit (Page 2, paragraph (0026)).

But, Hull et al does not specifically disclose where the limitation is preceded by the step of determining a communication event type for the communication event.

However, FUJINO et al. teaches the preceding by the step of determining a communication event type for the communication event referring to a signal where the segment to the mobile device determine where the next segment is signaled to the mobile device (page 2 & 3, paragraph (0034).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hull et al to determine how to identify the corresponding step without the communication event occurring for the purpose of handling a communication event in a mobile device.

Regarding claim 3, Hull discloses wherein the determined communication event type of the communications event is selected from a list including telephony based voice communication events (210, figure 2, page 3, paragraph (0028) & (0040)), e-mail communications events (page 2, paragraphs (0025) & (0040)), short messaging service communications event (page 2, paragraph (0025)) and wireless application protocol communications event (page 2, paragraph (0023)).

Regarding claim 4, Hull et al wherein the communications event is an incoming communications event (102, figure 1), and further including the step of receiving the incoming

Art Unit: 2617

communications event (208, figure 2) prior to identifying the correspondent (page 2, paragraphs (0019) & (0026)).

Regarding claim 5, Hull et al. discloses wherein the plurality of event types include at least two of a telephony based voice communications event type (210 figure 2, page 3, paragraphs (0028) & (0040)), an e-mail communication event type (page 2, paragraphs (0025) & (0040)), a short messaging service communications event type (page 2, paragraph (0025)) and a wireless applications protocol communications event type (page 2, paragraph (0023)).

Regarding claim 6, Hull et al discloses further including the step of adding the incoming communications event to the communications event history in the communications event database (209 figure 2, page 2, paragraphs (0023) & (0026)).

Regarding claim 7, Hull et al discloses wherein the step of receiving an incoming communications event includes one of: receiving a telephony based phone call (page 3, (0029)); receiving an incoming email message (page 2, paragraph (0025))., and receiving an incoming shod messaging service (SMS) message (page 2, paragraph (0025)).

Regarding claim 8, Hull et al discloses wherein the step of identifying the correspondent includes one of: extracting a phone number from call display information (page 3, paragraph (0028), extracting an email address from the header of an email message (page 3, paragraph (0028)), and extracting an originating address from a SMS message (page 3, paragraph (0028)).

Regarding claim 9, Hull et al discloses wherein the step of identifying further includes cross referencing one of the extracted phone number, the extracted email address, and the

Art Unit: 2617

extracted originating address with entries in an address book accessible to the mobile device (418, figure 4, page 3, paragraph (0028)).

Regarding claim 10, Hull et al discloses further including a step of controlling the user interface to provide the user with communications event handling options (111, 127, figure 1, page 2 & 3, paragraph 10027)).

Regarding claim 11, Hull et al discloses wherein the step of controlling the user interface includes providing the user an option to either ignore or answer an incoming telephony based call (page 2 & 3, paragraph (0027J).

Regarding claim 12, Hull et al. further including the step of updating the communications event database to reflect a status of the incoming call (page 4, paragraph (0040)).

Regarding claim 13, Hull et al discloses wherein the step of displaying communications event handling options includes displaying the option to either read or ignore one of the incoming email message and the incoming SMS message (page 5, paragraph (0055)).

Regarding claim 14, Hull et al discloses further including the step of updating the communications event database to reflect the status of one of the incoming email message and the incoming SMS message (page 5, paragraphs (0056) & (0057)).

Regarding claim 15, Hull et al discloses a mobile device, comprising: a transceiver for transmitting and receiving communications events (102, figure 1)., a communications event database for storing a plurality of communications event histories (110, figure 1), each of the plurality of communications event histories being associated with one of the plurality of correspondents (21 1, figure 2), a communications event handler for identifying a correspondent in response to a communications event and for automatically retrieving the associated

Art Unit: 2617

communications event history for the identified correspondent (page 2, paragraphs (0019 & (0026)), independent of address book (e.g., paragraphs 0023 and 0026), and a user interface for displaying the communications event history of the identified correspondent (page 2, paragraph (0023)).

Regarding claim 16, Hull et al discloses wherein the communications event handler includes a communications event type identifier for identifying the type of the communications event from a list including telephony based voice communications events (page 3, paragraph (0028)), e-mail communications events (page 3, paragraph (0028)), shod messaging service communications event and wireless applications protocol communications event (page 2, paragraph (0025)).

Regarding claim 17, Hull et al discloses wherein the user interface is a display, and the communications event handler includes a display controller for controlling the display to display the retrieved communications event history (111, figure 1, page 2 & 3, paragraph 10023)).

Regarding claim 18, Hull et al discloses wherein the communications event handler includes a correspondent identifier for identifying the correspondent of an incoming communications event (page 2, paragraph (0026)).

Regarding claim 19, Hull et al discloses wherein the correspondent identifier is connected to the transceiver for receiving call display information (page 2, paragraph (0027)), and includes means for identifying the correspondent of an incoming communications event based on the received call display information (pages 3 & 4, paragraph (0038)).

Regarding claim 20, Hull et al discloses wherein the correspondent identifier includes an address book interface for cross-referencing the received call display information with entries in

Art Unit: 2617

an address book accessible to the mobile device to identify the correspondent (page 3, paragraph (0028), and pages 3 & 4, paragraph (0038)).

Regarding claim 21, Hull et al discloses wherein the correspondent identifier includes header parsing means for parsing the header of one of a received email message and a received SMS message to extract an originating address (page 3, paragraph (0035)), and includes means for identifying the correspondent of an incoming communications event based on the extracted originating address (page 3, paragraph (0028)).

Regarding claim 22, Hull et al discloses wherein the correspondent identifier includes an address book interface for cross referencing the extracted originating address with entries in an address book accessible to the mobile device to identify the correspondent (418, figure 4, page 3, paragraph (0028)).

Regarding claim 23, Hull discloses wherein the communications event handler includes a user interface controller for controlling the user interface to provide a user with communications event handling options (111, figure 1, pages 2 & 3, paragraph (0027)).

Regarding claim 24, Hull discloses wherein the communications event handler includes means for updating the communications event database to reflect the status of an incoming call (pages 2 & 3, paragraph (0027)).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Shedrick whose telephone number is (571)-272-8621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:00AM-4:30PM.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/500,269

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kincaid Lester can be reached on (571)-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles Shedrick AU 2617 April 15, 2007

> DUC M. NĞUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600